

1996

Diversity and Dialogue: Gustavus Adolphus College

Florence Amamoto

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.augustana.edu/intersections>

Augustana Digital Commons Citation

Amamoto, Florence (1996) "Diversity and Dialogue: Gustavus Adolphus College," *Intersections*: Vol. 1996: No. 1, Article 9.
Available at: <http://digitalcommons.augustana.edu/intersections/vol1996/iss1/9>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Augustana Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Intersections* by an authorized administrator of Augustana Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@augustana.edu.

possible threats to our health, our environment, our families and our cultures are contemplated, such a stance may be imprudent, irresponsible, unnecessarily costly -- and even disastrous. So we need to equip our students to discern these different times, to understand the stakes, and to realize that the absence of scientific proof does not absolve us from choosing.

In closing these thoughts, I would add three last observations. First, I have pursued this argument in a wholly secular idiom, and have done so by choice, not necessity. We need to begin, I think, with a commitment to keeping our conversations and our schools accessible to outsiders, for whom the vocabulary of faith does not yet resonate. Nonetheless, this is no call to jettison our familiar symbols, terms, and stories. To touch on but a few possibilities, the imagery of sin and grace, idolatry, revelation, confession and conversion continues to guide and shape our thinking in wonderful ways, and can bring a greater richness to our conversations. I envision here a project of faithful translation, and a promising journey of rediscovery. Moreover, such an effort should not be seen as a plea for watering down our Christian symbols, but as a call for making them real and relevant once again. We must urgently address the painful possibility that most of our students, and even many of our colleagues, have but a shallow understanding of the Christian faith. And we must resist the trend of becoming nominally Christian, with the language of faith a self-contained jargon that merely decorates our lives.

Second, the journey into truth provides a natural and promising way for re-embracing the Lutheran tradition which has shaped us. Our tradition's vigor stems from its fruitfulness -- from its continued

potential for shaping, guiding, and sustaining our efforts. As such, we honor our Lutheran heritage, not by defending it or preserving it as a museum piece, but by testing it, exploring it, and putting it to work. And it promises to serve us well. The theology of glory, for instance, meshes nicely with a vision of truth as information, to be triumphantly captured and shared around. Luther's theology of the cross, however, rejects this notion of redemption as a trophy to be won, or borrowed, or inherited, and suggests a truth that must be re-encountered daily, by our sinful, saintly selves. To follow up on one of Professor Benne's suggestions, a renewed confidence in our tradition, and a renewed commitment to seeking and speaking the truth, will bring a refined logic to our recruitment agendas. We need excellent, competent professors, and part of their competence must be their ability to converse on matters of truth both within their fields of expertise and across the university at large. Moreover, a significant fraction of these conversation partners -- in Benne's terms a "critical mass" -- can and should be steeped in the Lutheran tradition.

Finally, I would suggest that we need to carefully prepare our students for living in a world of Untruth. Their relationships to truth will unfold against a world of false goods and false gods, and we must equip them to resist the lure of the crowd, to humbly guard against self delusion, and to face the loneliness of being different. Indeed, with Julian of Norwich, we may strengthen them, and ourselves, with her famous thought that "all will be well, and all will be well, and all manner of things will be well." But we might well pass along her other insight: that God does not promise that we won't be tested, nor that we won't be tried, but only that we will not be overwhelmed.

Diversity and Dialogue

Florence Amamoto

I usually do not start my articles with autobiography - in fact, this is unique, but I feel it is important to say something about myself to put my remarks in context. I am a third generation Japanese-American who teaches American literature at Gustavus Adolphus College in St. Peter, MN. I am a Buddhist--who regularly attends daily chapel. Although I went to large research institutions for all of my own schooling, I have always wanted to teach at a small liberal arts college and feel the church-relatedness of Gustavus is a bonus. In other words, this is the perspective of a sort of "inside outsider."

Mark Schwehn began the closing section of his address "The Future of Lutheran Higher Education" by noting:

Florence Amamoto is an Assistant Professor in the Department of English at Gustavus Adolphus College.

And so I leave you with tasks rather than predictions, opportunities rather than prescriptions, and large ideas rather than a set of discrete practical and programmatic suggestions. I really do think that the future of our schools will depend less upon material factors and more upon the power of our collective imaginations to refurbish our ideal of the Lutheran college and the Lutheran university for the 21st century.

The pressures of "material factors" are immense as any college president will tell you, as are the pressures toward secularization. However, I would argue that first, church-related colleges are vitally important to our society and second, part of this "refurbishing" needs to consider the issue of diversity. Last, I will examine some of the ways in which Lutheranism or church-relatedness is manifest at Gustavus and some of the pressures surrounding them. Although every school is unique, I suspect the issues at Gustavus are not so

different from those at other ELCA colleges and perhaps discussing "discrete practical and programmatic" practices at Gustavus can help spark the dialogues that will help keep these colleges vital--and Lutheran--into the 21st century.

++++
I know from experience that being Buddhist at a Lutheran College has not only taught me more about Lutheranism but has deepened my knowledge of and my faith in my own religion.

++++
I. In speaking to prospective students and their parents, as I often do, distinguishing between us, a small, liberal arts Lutheran college and large research universities like the ones I attended as a student is easy--smaller classes, bright and accessible professors who care about teaching and students, a friendly atmosphere, greater opportunities to be involved with extracurricular activities. But these attributes do not separate us from what is often our more serious competition: small, secular, liberal arts colleges. Here the obvious difference, perhaps the only difference, is our church-affiliation. I would submit that it is a vital difference.

Perhaps because I am an Americanist, I feel one of the crucial functions of college is to mold good citizens and community leaders. The optimism that the racial situation was improved and that "the people" could change "the system" of my own college years have disappeared. Political, economic, social changes, and the widening gap between haves and have nots have fueled social problems which continue to mount in an atmosphere ever more divisive and volatile. An education that "addresses simultaneously the mind and the spirit" is not just the "most meaningful" as Schwehn argues, but necessary. The moral vision and commitment required to address these problems are more easily developed in church-affiliated schools where discussion of values and faith are part of the identity of the school.

II. If church-affiliated colleges are uniquely positioned to make this important contribution to society, it is because they embody and carry on the conversation about the relationship between "Christ and culture," which Schwehn notes. Although worship may strengthen one's faith, real faith to me is shown in how one acts in the world. Perhaps the most salient characteristic of our culture is its diversity. As W.E.B. DuBois prophetically foresaw, this has been the major problem of the 20th century. Religiously affiliated colleges allow us to address questions of diversity in a way that goes beyond the easy appreciation of exotic music and food to ask the harder questions: Who is my brother? How shall I treat my neighbor?

Schwehn argues that "the role of the Lutheran college... would be not simply to maintain and reinvigorate the Lutheran accents and emphases in this conversation but also to open itself up to change and enlargement of its own vision of the relationship between Christ and culture." I couldn't agree more, but opening itself up to engagement with the culture as well as with other voices can help this reinvigoration. Exploring the connections between life, faith, and learning give all more meaning and depth. As for diversity in

particular, I have found in teaching that comparison is an effective way to highlight and explore. I know from experience that being Buddhist at a Lutheran college has not only taught me more about Lutheranism but has deepened my knowledge of and my faith in my own religion. From conversations I've had with Christian friends here, I know my homilies, which often reflect on Scripture passages from a Buddhist perspective have done the same for them. I agree with Schwehn that a Lutheran college should engage in constant critical self-examination and have a desire for dialogue; I believe that the two reinforce and deepen one another.

Although I am accenting here the need for diversity in the curriculum and in personnel, to create the most meaningful educational experience for our students, I think that dialogue would be healthiest if the school maintained its Lutheran identity. The Lutheran identity keeps us mindful that there is a larger framework within which we live our lives and do our work although we might not all define it in the same way. It is a delicate balance, but one that can produce a creative tension. I have felt very fortunate to be at Gustavus because I think it has such a creative tension. But it is under pressure from many sides, and both the ways in which Gustavus has expressed its Lutheran heritage and the pressures facing their continuance are the subject of the rest of this article.

III. The Lutheran church is visible at Gustavus quite literally in the form of Christ Chapel, a large and beautiful building in the center of campus. Its steeple is the highest point on campus and its lit silhouette can be seen standing over not just the campus but also the town of St. Peter. Plans for expansion of the campus have been designed to keep the chapel as the focal point of campus, a physical statement of its centrality to the identity of the college.

But the chapel would be an empty symbol without an active chapel program. The chapel is home to many important college events--convocation, Christmas in Christ Chapel, May Day, Honors Day, Baccalaureate. Although chapel attendance is no longer mandatory, there are no classes between 10 and 10:30 a.m. so people can go to daily chapel, a powerful statement of the importance the institution places on spiritual life.

Much of the credit for the vitality of the chapel program and its visibility on campus must go to Richard Elvee, the chaplain at Gustavus for more than 30 years. A professor in Communications regularly asks his classes to name the three most important people on campus. It is no surprise that Chaplain Elvee is consistently one of the three most frequently mentioned names. Elvee is important not just because he is visible and not just because he has built and sustained a vigorous chapel program. Elvee also provides a model of a man of the church who is also deeply committed to the life of the mind. Elvee has been instrumental as the main organizer of the Nobel Conferences. The quality of the participants which Gustavus has been able to attract to this conference has been astounding but just as impressive to me has been Elvee's insistence on a format that has always included a philosopher or theologian participating in these discussions on an equal footing with the scientists. As importantly, Elvee can be found any day of the week in the Canteen, in his office, walking around campus, provoking, questioning, arguing, equally ready to discuss controversial and cutting-edge issues in theology,

science, or politics.

Elvee's leadership is half of the equation for the successful chapel program. The other half is the professional staff and strong faculty and administration support. The chapel program is ecumenical and inclusive. Lutheran, Catholic, Episcopalian, Jewish, Quaker, Buddhist, agnostic speakers have all been welcome in the pulpit, providing a real diversity of views and traditions. I believe it is important for the professors to think of the spiritual side of their lives to keep their lives and their work in perspective--and I think it is important for the students to see their professors in the pulpit and to hear the fruits of those reflections.

+++++
... challenges are opportunities to make us define and refine our ideas about the purposes of our colleges and our vocations as teachers. Let us seize these opportunities -- together.

+++++

As important as the chapel program is, it is also important that consideration of spiritual issues at Gustavus does not stop at the Chapel doors. Although the religion requirement for general education is now only a single course, the recently instituted First-term Seminar must involve questions of values. As we reconsider our general education program there has been some talk of adding a senior values capstone course. One of the things I value about a church-related college is that considerations of questions of values in courses is encouraged.

It is also encouraged outside the classroom. The Religion Department for several years has sponsored a series called Tuesday Conversations: Religion and Society, where a faculty member speaks on research relating to religion and society with a commentary by a faculty member from a different department followed by questions from the audience. These forums are open to everyone on campus--students, faculty, administrators, and staff. In the last few years, Gustavus has also stepped up its support of service programs. We hired a director of community services programs who has not only coordinated the volunteer programs but has also worked to expand service programs and make them more visible on campus. In addition, Philosophy professor Deane Curtin organized an India study abroad program focussing on women, community, and development issues in the third world. He also arranged to have Desmond D'Abreo, highly respected community organizer in India, here this year on a Fulbright. Generous donors have helped strengthen the college with gifts like the Sponberg Chair in Ethics in the Religion Department, which brings speakers to campus.

Obviously, religion, particularly Lutheranism, values, and ethics currently permeate Gustavus in many forms. However, none of these things happen automatically. The fact that a number of these programs are new argues for the importance of change, of "refurbishing our ideal of the Lutheran college." But change is also threatening that ideal. Chaplain Elvee's long tenure means that we will have to face his retirement sometime in the foreseeable future.

His pungent personality, wide-ranging intellectual curiosity, and charismatic presence will be impossible to replace, but it will be important for Gustavus to think carefully about his replacement. We need to find someone who can keep the chapel program vital and linked to the intellectual life of the college. If we are very lucky, we might find someone like Elvee who will also bring vision to that position.

The fragility of the Lutheran/religious presence on campus has also been underlined by other recent occurrences. Although it is one of the few truly unique programs in our study abroad offerings (which otherwise resemble those of other colleges), Deane Curtin has been having trouble finding other faculty members willing to lead the group. The Tuesday Conversations for the past few years have been somewhat sporadic, as money and people's schedules get tighter. Faculty--especially untenured, non-Lutheran faculty like me--become concerned when Board of Trustees members raise the issue of "ethos"--but recent events have also raised concerns that the push for "excellence" measure mainly by the number of publications may eventually erode the commitment to service, values, and community that has long distinguished religiously affiliated liberal arts colleges including Gustavus from their secular sister institutions.

If Gustavus is any indication, we are at a critical juncture in our history. Financial pressures are acute and the pressure toward secularization tremendous and subtle, fueled as it is by valid concerns for excellence and marketability. But if my students (and the graduate students at last year's conference on the vocation of Lutheran higher education) are any indication, what they value most about their education is that these schools are genuinely concerned with the growth of the whole person and actually nurture the intellect, the emotions, and the spirit. The faculty are academically challenging but personally accessible and supportive. I believe that the kind of education of the whole person offered by church-affiliated colleges and universities has an important part to play in our world--and that it is marketable.

It has been precisely the tension between Christ and culture, the intersection between life, faith, and learning, which has produced some of the most innovative and exciting new programs on campus. I would like to see us continue to balance our concerns for our Lutheran heritage and professionalism. At the least, we need to think critically about where our colleges are going and where we want them to go. And we all--students, faculty, administration, Board members--need to talk to each other. Too many of these conversations--when they are happening at all--are happening in isolation, within but not across groups. We need dialogues--on campuses, but also between campuses, at conferences, in journals like this. Although each ELCA college has its unique history and set of circumstances, or perhaps because they do, we have much we could learn from each other. There are many ways church-relatedness may be manifest, many ways the common challenges facing us may be met.

Challenges certainly abound for those of us who would like to see our colleges retain their religious and specifically Lutheran character. But challenges are opportunities to make us define and refine our ideas about the purposes of our colleges and our vocations as teachers. Let us seize these opportunities--together.