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I’ve been a Lutheran all my life. Except for brief stints worshiping with the Catholics in college (because they held services on Sunday evenings), and the United Church of Christ during grad school (because the building was closer to my apartment), my home congregation has always been Lutheran. Among other things, this means that even if it was rarely mentioned, the concept of vocation has been part of what I was taught to believe. For as long as I can remember, I have believed that God calls people to various jobs, and that all jobs are somehow equally valid in God’s eyes.

Given this understanding, if you’d asked me what my vocation was while I was in college, or even in graduate school, I would have said that I was waiting for God to call me in some distinctive and obvious way to my role in life, which would (of course) include significant service to the common good. In the meantime, I was just doing what made sense to me. It wasn’t until I had finished my PhD, married, started work as an assistant professor of economics, and had my first child that it dawned on me that maybe God wasn’t going to “call” me in the distinctive and obvious way that I’d been expecting. This led me to wonder: How is it that a person is called to their particular role in the world? Absent some clear and distinct calling, how do we figure out if the thing we’re doing is what we are actually called to do? How can one know the ways that one’s work and various roles in the world actually serve the common good? Interestingly, it wasn’t long after I started asking these questions that I saw an opening in my field at Pacific Lutheran University and felt, somehow, that this position was meant for me and that I couldn’t not apply.

“Absent some clear and distinct calling, how do we figure out if the thing we’re doing is what we are actually called to do?”

There are many ways to approach vocation, and one can consider the concept from a variety of faith traditions (including “none”). Rabbi Amy Eilberg uses the story of Moses’ calling to illustrate four key factors which help us understand what it means to discern one’s vocation. It turns out that discerning vocation is both easier and more difficult than my grad school self expected. While Moses was obviously and distinctively called by God, the factors
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Eilberg discusses suggest that it’s possible for those of us who are not so directly called to discern who we are meant to be and what we are meant to do.

Clear and Extraordinary Callings

The story of Moses’ calling is found in the third chapter of Exodus. I’m quoting it at length here and ask that you read it carefully and with fresh eyes, even if it’s a familiar story:

Now Moses was tending the flock of Jethro his father-in-law, the priest of Midian, and he led the flock to the far side of the wilderness and came to Horeb, the mountain of God. There the angel of the Lord appeared to him in flames of fire from within a bush. Moses saw that though the bush was on fire it did not burn up. So Moses thought, “I will go over and see this strange sight—why the bush does not burn up.”

When the Lord saw that he had gone over to look, God called to him from within the bush, “Moses! Moses!”

And Moses said, “Here I am.”

“Do not come any closer,” God said. “Take off your sandals, for the place where you are standing is holy ground.” Then he said, “I am the God of your father, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac and the God of Jacob.” At this, Moses hid his face, because he was afraid to look at God. (Exodus 3:1-6)

Clearly, this is a story of calling. Moses doesn’t have to work very hard to hear the call, nor does he have to wonder just who he’s hearing it from. Even so, it contains several lessons for those of us who do not receive such clear messages. Before I get to these, let me name two components of this story that are not necessarily in all callings.

First, the obvious: vocational discernment doesn’t require an audible call from God through a burning bush. While some people speak of literally hearing the voice of God while dreaming or awake, vocation can and often does come to us in much more ordinary ways. Your friend points out something you’re really good at. You suggest to a student that they should consider your discipline as a major. You find yourself taking on a challenge that somehow, you can’t not do. All of these can lead a person to her or his vocation. And so, the burning bush is but one of many ways to become aware of—to discern—one’s vocation.

“The temptation is to think that vocation must be some grand action or position—one that will be prestigious, challenging, exhilarating, and powerful.”

Second, and more subtly, following this passage we learn that God is calling Moses to lead God’s people out of slavery in Egypt and into the Promised Land. The temptation is to think that vocation must be some grand action or position—one that will be prestigious, challenging, exhilarating, and powerful. What is more, we think that only those who are so called have a true vocation. To be sure, sometimes, and for some people, vocation turns out to be a call to leadership or to recognition or to distinction. Yet there are times when vocation includes neither power nor recognition, when people are called to things that no one will see, or to things that are viewed as mundane or ordinary. Small, even. Vocation, properly understood, is something everyone may claim, regardless of gender, race, or economic position. We all have a discernible vocation.

Attention, Wonder, Community, Humility

Given these caveats, let’s return to the story of Moses’ calling. Eilberg claims that it illustrates four requirements for vocational discernment. The first is attentiveness. In order to sense a calling (regardless of the source), one must pay attention. One has to notice the source of the calling before it can be understood. It would have been easy for Moses to pass by the burning bush [he was, after all, in the desert and had doubtless seen burning things before]. But he didn’t. Something about the bush
caught his attention and held it long enough for him to really notice. Generally speaking, vocational discernment requires this sort of attention. And so I ask: Where and how do we pay attention? On what do we focus long enough to notice? What gets in the way of our noticing? Perhaps most importantly: What are we ignoring that is asking for our attention?

Wonder is the second quality of vocational discernment. One must wonder about what one notices. Once he had noticed the burning bush, Moses became curious and moved closer to investigate. He became actively engaged in trying to understand what he saw. While not everything we notice leads to our vocation, noticing without wondering only leads to an interesting collection of unusual things. Vocational discernment requires the sort of active engagement that Moses undertook—a pointed curiosity and willingness to find out more. And so I ask: What do you wonder about? How are you actively engaged in the process of wondering? How do you foster a sense of wonder in others? Where and how do our colleges and universities foster this sense of wonder? How do we accompany students as they explore the questions that cause them to wonder? Perhaps most importantly: Where and how do we fall short?

Eilberg’s third feature of vocational discernment is a sense of communal consciousness. To understand this, you need to know that Moses was tending flocks in the wilderness because he was on the run. He had been chased out of Egypt for killing an Egyptian he saw beating one of his fellow Israelites. Moses’ sense of belonging to the Israelite community served as an important part of his discernment process. It provided him a clear sense of who he was meant to serve. Martin Luther would extend this further, claiming that a correct understanding of vocation requires that it be of service to the community, or as Luther would put it, to the neighbor. According to Luther, the Christian “should be guided in all his works by this thought and contemplate this one thing alone, that he may serve and benefit others in all that he does, considering nothing except the need and advantage of his neighbor” (Luther 365, as quoted by Kleinhans 396-97).

Vocation, properly understood, must benefit the other. It is this sort of openness to and care for the other that Mark Schwehn refers to in examining the relationship between friendship and truth. Schwehn’s descriptions of missionary Frank Laubach’s work with the Moro population of the Philippines, and of biologist Barbara McClintock’s research on the corn plant, illustrate his claim that in order to understand something, one must approach it first in friendship. Schwehn claims that in order to truly understand, one must first love—or “neighbor”—the other. Inasmuch as discerning vocation depends on and informs understanding, it must also involve a sense of belonging, or a communal consciousness with the thing one serves.

Finally, Eilberg notes that following this episode, Moses spent a long time arguing with God about whether he was the right person to lead the Israelites. Eilberg attributes this argument to Moses’ humility, and claims that humility is a fourth feature of vocational discernment. Purposeful action born of ambition, or a need for self-aggrandizement, Eilberg seems to be saying, is likely not vocation. Such action crowds out other features of vocational discernment such as attention and wonder, not to mention service to the other. While it is important that we accurately assess our capacities (false humility is no humility at all), it is also important that we recognize how much we can learn from the other.

The Correspondence of Calling and Civil Discourse

Isn’t it interesting that these four features—attention, wonder, communal consciousness and humility—are key aspects of civil discourse? Given this correspondence, we should ask: When we foster vocational discernment on our campuses, are we also promoting civil discourse? Are we...
Encouraging students to notice and wonder not only fosters civil discourse but also introduces them to vocation and its discernment. Perhaps this might provide a way for all of our students to begin considering who they are and who they might become. In that case, we do well when we find ways to promote attentiveness and wonder—ways that are welcoming to those who come to use from “traditional” backgrounds, as well as those who are encountering our traditions for the first time. Maybe this could be the beginning of introducing our students to vocation and its discernment.

Endnotes

1. In relating these stories, Schwehn cites books by David Hollinger and Evelyn Fox Keller, both listed below.

2. A brief introduction to the topic of civil discourse can be found in Andrea Leskes’s “A Plea for Civil Discourse” (see below).
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