

2021

## (Re)Defining Vocation: Gladly Challenging a Vocational Giant

Drew Tucker

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.augustana.edu/intersections>



Part of the [Higher Education Commons](#), and the [Religion Commons](#)

---

### Augustana Digital Commons Citation

Drew Tucker (2021) "(Re)Defining Vocation: Gladly Challenging a Vocational Giant," *Intersections*: Vol. 2021 : No. 53 , Article 7.

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.augustana.edu/intersections/vol2021/iss53/7>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Augustana Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Intersections* by an authorized editor of Augustana Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@augustana.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@augustana.edu).

DREW TUCKER

# (Re)Defining Vocation: Gladly Challenging a Vocational Giant



Many students don't arrive at our universities with a clear understanding of vocation, and especially not one that reflects the Lutheran approach to vocation. Some evidence suggests this is because use of the English term *vocation* has dramatically decreased in common parlance since

its height in the sixteenth century (Google). You can do a simple search of this yourself using Google's Ngram Viewer, which analyzes the use of words in tens of millions of print publications since 1500.

In our ecumenical context, confusion also can arise since Roman Catholic traditions typically use *vocation* to refer to the specifically religious callings of priesthood, marriage, or celibacy, while Protestants typically refer to God's call in a broader sense. Since Roman Catholics make up a majority (or at least, a significant minority) of self-identified students on many of our campuses, this almost certainly makes an impact on the conversation. It also puts the onus on NECU institutions to clarify what we mean by vocation and to offer a compelling definition that invites students, faculty, and staff to see vocation through a Lutheran lens.

It seems to me that the greatest challenge is that, often by tacit support or silent disregard, we've ceded the

ground of vocational clarity to other voices in the field. Perhaps the most famous of these vocational gurus is Frederick Buechner, who, in his book *Wishful Thinking*, defines vocation as "the place where your deep gladness and the world's deep hunger meet" (Buechner). Far be it from me, a not famous (though I hope not infamous) college pastor, to challenge this giant in the field of vocation. But as David thought when he faced Goliath, and Lin-Manuel Miranda's Hamilton vocalized on stage, I'm not throwing away my shot. Simply put, Buechner's vocation definition, and specifically his focus on gladness, is insufficient for colleges, universities, and religious institutions in the twenty-first century. Rather than gladness, *meaning* should be the cornerstone of our definition of vocation.

## Important and Insufficient: Experiencing Joy

For most in Gen Z—who comprise the majority of undergraduate students on our campuses—the word *glad* is practically synonymous with happiness. Now, don't get me wrong. I believe there's far too little happiness in our world, especially one plagued with the dual pandemics of COVID-19 and racism. Gladness is not something we should avoid, nor is it something we should ignore. It is, in fact, what makes Buechner's definition so attractive.

**Drew Tucker** is university pastor and director of the Center for Faith and Learning at Capital University in Columbus, Ohio. To follow his writing, including an upcoming book on vocation, visit [www.friartucker.com](http://www.friartucker.com) or connect with him on social media: Twitter (@FriarTuckTweets), Instagram (@lutheranfriartucker), and Facebook ([facebook.com/AndrewJacobTucker](https://facebook.com/AndrewJacobTucker)).

If there are things that can make me happy and meet the profound needs of the world in which I live, surely I would want to participate in those vocations.

On the one hand, then, taking joy in an activity certainly doesn't preclude that activity from being one of your vocations. Many things we do inspire joy within us, while also serving a deep and abiding purpose. As a college pastor whose students recently received full-ride-plus-stipend graduate school offers, who just started their dream jobs, who just invited me to perform their marriage ceremonies, I frequently feel gladness in this vocation work. For that, I am deeply grateful.

On the other hand, gladness is not something that should solely define the central purposes of our lives. God calls us, at times, to things that have holy purpose and are deeply meaningful, and yet bring no gladness. I think back to the times where couples asked for prayer in deep moments of sorrow at the loss of a child, or to the people who sought support after experiencing assault. Those, too, were my vocation. I was in no way glad, and yet, they were deeply meaningful moments full of holy purpose.

Another concern (and one that almost certainly seems ridiculous coming from me) is that the conversation around vocation is often controlled by straight, white, cisgender, Christian men with at least middle-class wealth. You know, people like me. Of course, from within our privilege, we can focus on happiness. We've got time to ruminate on such things, the means to pursue them, and audiences to listen to our conjectures as if they are categorical imperatives. Certainly, all people have the capacity for gladness, but not all people have the luxury to focus on it as a primary mode of purpose or existence. Such a focus on gladness doesn't account for the holiness in work that requires toil, and even suffering, to meet the needs of our neighbors, nor does it attend to the ways that others have found meaningful purpose despite oppression and marginalization. That's why, in my forthcoming book on vocation, my primary conversation partners are Black, Indigenous, and other people of color, along with people who are Queer and of religious and spiritual traditions other than Christianity. Simply because they have not controlled the conversation on vocation does not mean they have no wisdom to share; in fact, there is profound purpose for vocation that we've

often ignored through a narrow focus on predominantly white, Christian, male, affluent approaches to vocation.

## Decisive: The Flourishing of the Neighbor

Despite my critique, there is also some harmony with Lutheran vocational theology and Buechner's definition. Consider Luther's thoughts in "The Freedom of a Christian": "In all of one's works a person should... contemplate this thought alone: to serve and benefit others in everything that may be done, having nothing else in view except the need and advantage of the neighbor" (Luther 520). Five hundred years later, Buechner echoes this concern that Luther penned in 1520, namely that the world's needs are the paramount purpose of vocation. Our neighbors—not just humanity, but all of God's creation—have needs which may be met by the work we have to offer. Luther reminds us that, since God in Christ guaranteed we need not work for our own salvation, we are empowered instead to serve the bodily needs—mental, physical, emotional, civic, economic, political, relational, familial, and others—as the primary locus of and reason for our work. Wingren, in his *Luther On Vocation*, offers this helpful paraphrase: "God doesn't need our good works, Luther said, but our neighbor does" (Wingren 10).

"Our neighbors—not just humanity, but all of God's creation—have needs which may be met by the work we have to offer."

It is the needs of our neighbor, the images and works of God in the world, that guide our vocations. Since gladness is not always found in meeting these needs, how can we understand vocation in a clear way that connects our purpose to the needs of our neighbors? I propose this working definition: *your vocation is any meaningful, life-giving work you do for the world*. This highlights a few key factors.

First, vocation is at least theoretically possible in any work that we do. Vocation isn't limited to monetizing skills, or biological families, or public deeds. We hold multiple

vocations simultaneously as family members and friends, as citizens and workers, as volunteers and as earth keepers.

Second, the definition asserts that vocation is found in work that is meaningful, especially meaningful to you. Again, not all things we are called to make us happy, but all things we are called to have meaning that connects with our identities and our values. It doesn't bring good parents gladness to discipline children, nor are good teachers glad to give negative feedback on assignments. But those moments of correction are full of meaning as we participate in the identity and vocational development of those under our care. I am not alone in this framing. For instance, Marsha Rehm offers the notion of vocation as meaning-making in her foundational article "Vocation as Meaning Making Narrative." The proposed definition ties together Rehm's valuable thread with Buechner's attentiveness to the world's deep needs, but with a twist.

Third, then, rather than utilize the language of need, this definition instead echoes Jesus's words from John 10. Christ came to give "life to the full" or "abundant life." While that's categorically different than the work we're called to in our vocations—I can't guarantee anyone's salvation, including my own—as images of God (and for some of us, as followers of Christ), we're called to do work that reflects the God that we love. To meet needs is to give life, but to speak about meeting needs in the twenty-first century can sound too close to a toxic charity approach that creates or supports an unhealthy dependency. To give life intends to enable freedom, to honor the integrity of those that give and receive.

One distinction that's worth noting is that your vocation should be meaningful and life-giving for both you and those you're serving. This is where our tradition's language of internal and external call matter deeply. Just because something is meaningful for you doesn't mean it's life-giving for others. And just because people have needs doesn't mean you're capable of fulfilling all those needs all the time.

Last, and most importantly, this definition allows a place for gladness in our vocations but does not require it. This is important for our vocations and our identities. Even when I'm not happy, I'm still human. Even when you're not glad, you still have purpose. As someone who has lived all my life with mental illness, only diagnosed in college, its liberating to know that my purpose doesn't disappear with my

joy. That, in fact, not only does God remain present in the valleys, but so do my neighbors and their needs. My vocation remains valid even if I'm not feeling its value in the moment.

## Life-Giving Work

If vocation is any meaningful, life-giving work that we do for the world, then we can see how our lives are imbued with holy purpose not just in our individual gladness, but in our shared purpose. There is no more import to the vocations of clergy, medical doctors, or lawyers than there is to carpenters, Uber drivers, or photographers. There is no more value to work that is occupational than work that is volunteer or familial. Vocation is found at any intersection of our capacities with the needs of the world that is meaningful for us and life-giving for others.

It's time we redefine vocation in a way that is accessible to all within our institutional spheres of influence: not just students, faculty, and staff at NECU schools, but our community partners, our interreligious networks, and beyond. More than accessible, though, this definition intends to honor the holy work that permeates the lives of all people and acknowledge the needs for abundant life so prevalent within the cosmos. May you, your colleagues, and your institutions find work that is meaningful and life giving.

## Works Cited

- Buechner, Frederick. "Vocation." The Frederick Buechner Center. Accessed 1 April 2021, <https://www.frederickbuechner.com/quote-of-the-day/2017/7/18/vocation>.
- Google. "Vocation." Google Books Ngram Viewer. Accessed 1 April 2021, [https://books.google.com/ngrams/graph?content=vocation&year\\_start=1500&year\\_end=2019&corpus=26&smoothing=3&direct\\_url=t1%3B%2Cvocation%3B%2Cc0](https://books.google.com/ngrams/graph?content=vocation&year_start=1500&year_end=2019&corpus=26&smoothing=3&direct_url=t1%3B%2Cvocation%3B%2Cc0).
- Luther, Martin. "The Freedom of a Christian (1520)." *The Annotated Luther Study Edition*. Edited by Timothy J. Wengert. Fortress, 2016.
- Rehm, Marsha L. "Vocation as Meaning Making Narrative: Implications for Vocational Education." *Journal of Vocational Education Research*, 24.3 (1999): 145–59.
- Wingren, Gustaf. *Luther on Vocation*. Translated by Carl C. Rasmussen, Wipf & Stock, 2004.