

2017

# Exploring Alternative Funding Methods for the Lead Remediation Program in Scott County, Iowa

Brittany A. Poynor

*Augustana College, Rock Island Illinois*

Layne Porembski

*Augustana College, Rock Island Illinois*

Arielle Bloemer

*Augustana College, Rock Island Illinois*

Brandon Schattner

*Augustana College, Rock Island Illinois*

Sarah Hanson

*Augustana College, Rock Island Illinois*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.augustana.edu/swliscott>



Part of the [Accounting Commons](#), [Environmental Public Health Commons](#), [Environmental Studies Commons](#), and the [Finance and Financial Management Commons](#)

---

## Augustana Digital Commons Citation

Poynor, Brittany A.; Porembski, Layne; Bloemer, Arielle; Schattner, Brandon; and Hanson, Sarah. "Exploring Alternative Funding Methods for the Lead Remediation Program in Scott County, Iowa" (2017). *2016-2017: Scott County, Iowa and the Scott County Health Department*.

<http://digitalcommons.augustana.edu/swliscott/2>

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Sustainable Working Landscapes Initiative at Augustana Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2016-2017: Scott County, Iowa and the Scott County Health Department by an authorized administrator of Augustana Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@augustana.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@augustana.edu).

## CRA Decision Memo

To: Scott County Health Department  
From: Sustainable Working Landscapes Initiative through the Upper Mississippi Center  
Subject: Lead Remediation Project in At-Risk Scott County Homes  
Prepared by: Sarah Hanson

### STATEMENT OF ISSUE:

The CRA is a result of the neighborhood movement of the 1970s, when community groups rallied against historical practices of housing and banking discrimination in low-income urban neighborhoods. The CRA specifically addressed a discriminatory practice called redlining that had become entrenched in federal housing policy and was used to deny or raise the cost of loans to low-income and minority borrowers. Now, FDIC institutions are required to comply with the CRA to help with the economy of low-income neighborhoods. Further research also showed that this option will save money in other aspects. The use of the CRA will be helpful in saving money in the long-run: spending one dollar on remediation returns \$17 to \$221 dollars in benefits through higher earnings and tax revenue, reduced spending on special education, and less criminal activity.

As I went through this process, I reached out to David Farmer: he is the contact from Scott County Health Department. I also reached out to Brian Hollenbeck From Bridge Investment, but was unsuccessful in receiving a reply. I also Bret Robinson from Farmers State Bank of Western Illinois. Bret expressed to me that this institution cannot do anything to help this project, due to the location, but he suggested I contact Bi-State Regional. I have not yet contacted Bi-State Regional, because they will not comply with the CRA, but I have passed along this information to the rest of the group. And finally, I got in contact with Rick Schloemer, who is in charge of the Davenport Housing Cluster. I am looking forward to hearing from him, at this point.

I also researched other communities to see if they have had any experience with using the CRA for lead-remediation. The first article I found stated that the CRA loans they processed were only for \$5000.00 over a period of 60 months (5 years). They were set at a rate of 7.9% and in this case, they were processed the same way as other home rehabilitation loans. This institution only completed 10 or 20 of these matching loans over a 6 year period. The second case I found was set in New Jersey. In this case, the loans were at a lower interest rate (around 4-6% APR) they also lent up to \$10,000.00 per each home. The loan recipients also used HUD and Leap funding to cover the rest of the remediation costs. However, they only approved and completed 21 loans out of the 113 interested applicants. In this case, the CRA loans accounted for about one-third of the total abated units.

Thus far, this option would work in small amounts, meaning, we could allocate funds for 50 or less houses at one time from some institutions, but the entire amount of each property will not be covered by the funds from the bank, alone. I would suggest this option only in accordance with other funding and with the ability to do continue to do it with more houses over a period of time.

## **Housing Trust Decision Memo**

To: Scott County Health Department

From: Sustainable Working Landscapes Initiative through the Upper Mississippi Center

Subject: Using a Housing Trust Fund for lead remediation program

Prepared by: Brittany Poynor

### **STATEMENT OF ISSUE:**

Many municipalities have used a housing trust to support affordable housing development. A housing trust fund is a source of funding for affordable housing development and modification projects that is established by the government. Over 30 states and 250 cities and counties have created such a trust. Each municipality gets the money for the trust in different ways. Some use seed money from loans or HUD, others use tax revenues, and others use development fees.

One option is to look into receiving funds from a government source like Ohio has done with HUD money and find additional donors that are willing to match those donations. In Ohio, they have \$2.9 million for three years from HUD that is matched by an additional \$300,000.00 from the Ohio Housing Finance Agency and the Ohio Housing Trust Fund. Many other communities such as Sioux City, Iowa and the state of New York have used HUD funds for similar housing trust funds. Most communities seem to use HUD as their seed money, although there are a few other options out there where HUD money is not used. Two other options are finding a community group or organization that will donate the initial seed money for the housing trust fund or using tax revenues to start the fund.

First we looked into setting up a revolving loan fund with seed money from the Iowa Finance Authority. After communication with the Iowa Finance Authority, we learned that they currently do not have a program that our revolving loan fund fits into.

The Iowa Finance Authority currently has the Home- and Community- Based Services Revolving Loan Fund which assists in the development and expansion of facilities and infrastructure that provide adult day services, respite services and congregate meals for low-income individuals, and they have the HOME Program which is designed for creating affordable housing. We had hopes that one of these or a pilot program would be something our revolving loan fund project would be considered under, however after correspondence with Iowa Finance Authority's policy director, we learned there are no such funds that we can use from them as our seed money for our program. In conversation with the policy director, we were directed to Rick Schloemer of the Scott County Housing Council to discuss funds for a housing trust fund due to the council's active role in funding lead remediation work in the past.

Date: 17 February 2017

A solution to this public health problem is to start a housing trust fund where you can use some or all of that money to go towards lead (abatement/remediation) in Scott County. The seed money for this housing trust fund would come from the Scott County Housing Council. The Scott County Housing Council is a group that provides the resources to promote economic and community development in our county. The money would then be loaned out or given as grants on a case-by-case basis through an application form. In the future more money can be added to the trust fund through other loans and through county taxes. This process would be overseen by an administrative agency and would work closely with community-based partners that would be willing and able to help with the lead (abatement/remediation) process—including many non-profits throughout the Scott County area.

## **Revolving Fund Decision Memo**

To: Scott County Health Department

From: Sustainable Working Landscapes Initiative through the Upper Mississippi Center

Subject: Using a Revolving Fund for lead remediation Program

Prepared by: Brittany Poynor

### STATEMENT OF ISSUE:

A revolving fund is one of the options that Scott County can use to help their lead abatement initiative be successful. This is often used in government agencies to support specific operations under special rules set by Congress. Revolving funds are accounts where money is deposited for expenditure without fiscal-year limitations. The government agency using this fund must be authorized by Congress to establish such a fund. Due to the lack of fiscal-year limitations, Congress can mandate other specific rules and strict terms that go along with the expenditure of this fund. One positive is money in the fund at the end of the year, is still available to use the following year instead of reverting back to the general treasury.

Revolving funds are under restrictions of the Antideficiency Act. This act prohibits the agency from entering into a contract that would allocate more funds than what is available for use at the time. Congress may however grant an agency a limited exemption from the Antideficiency Act restrictions by granting “contract authority.” However, these contracts are only able to be interpreted by what is written in the document.

The state of Iowa has used such a fund before for water and wastewater management. This State Revolving Fund (SRF) helps to manage water treatment plants, sewer rehabilitation, stormwater quality improvements, and non-point source projects under the Clean Water SRF. It also funds water treatment plants, and improvements to facilities, water line extensions to existing unserved properties, water storage facilities, wells, and source water protection efforts under the Drinking Water SRF. There are multiple loans that can be taken out under these SRFs, including construction loans, planning and design loans, source water protection loans, and non-point source loans. This is an example of revolving loans at the state level, but a successful example within the same state that Scott County is in.

A solution to this public health problem is to start a revolving fund for lead abatement in Scott County. The seed money for this revolving fund would come from the Iowa Finance Authority. This money is then loaned out with a low-interest rate to owner-occupied properties, investor-owned with eligible income tenants, and non-profit organizations that rent to eligible tenants. Once loans are repaid, money can be loaned out again to finance more lead abatement projects. This fund, once established will continue to run until the need for the fund is no longer seen. However, the Iowa Finance Authority seems unable to provide sufficient funds as seed money, so this option may not be viable.

## **Tax Increment Financing Decision Memo**

To: Scott County Health Department

From: Sustainable Working Landscapes Initiative through the Upper Mississippi Center

Subject: TIF funding for lead removal project

Prepared by: Brandon Schattner

### STATEMENT OF ISSUE:

After receiving information of homes in the Davenport, IA area having lead in them, it was the goal of the Upper Mississippi Center to find plausible funding initiatives to help solve this problem. One funding method that we thought would make sense was the use of a TIF or also known as tax increment financing. This type of funding essentially would allow the reallocation of property taxes in the area to help finance the lead removal project. After holding meetings with officials in the Davenport area and conducting more research on TIF funding, we found that it is probably not a realistic funding option to our project anymore.

The biggest underlying problem to this financing initiative is the location of the properties. In order for a TIF to be used, the property values of the homes we are repairing must go up significantly. Unfortunately, due to the location of where the houses are in Davenport a significant increase in property value after repairs is just not likely. The other key component to deeming this project unrealistic was a quick equation we received to see just exactly how much money we would receive for funding the project if we used a TIF. The equation basically equated to a \$40 increment we can use to repair the homes for every \$1,000 we increase the property value. Now, just going off of some rough estimates in our prior analysis we concluded that the homes in our project would need around \$12,000-\$15,000 in repairs. Therefore, based off these estimations we would need to increase the property value of the home by \$300,000 if we wanted to make repairs of \$12,000 on a home. This conclusion does not seem realistic as many newer homes in the Davenport area hardly cost \$300,000. These were the two biggest reasons why we thought TIF funding would not be helpful to our project any longer.

## Urban Revitalization Decision Memo

To: Scott County Health Department  
From: Sustainable Working Landscapes Initiative through the Upper Mississippi Center  
Subject: Urban Revitalization Tax Exemption Program  
Prepared by: Layne Porembski

### STATEMENT OF ISSUE:

Developed to address deteriorating city areas, the Urban Revitalization Tax Exemption (URTE) program is designed to incentivize property owners to make improvements on their properties with the potential for a tax exemption. Through the program, property owners—of either residential, commercial or industrial buildings within a designated revitalization area—who meet the goals of the URTE program and improve their property value by at least 10% are able to apply for the tax exemption. The amount of the exemption then depends on the location and type of property. For residential properties, like the properties this project focused on, there are full and partial exemptions ranging from \$20,000 per project, up to \$75,000 or no limit. Participating property owners are able to apply to the city or county for the exemption after the improvements have been made. In addition, they are allowed to apply for eligibility before work begins, or file an amended application later, though this does not guarantee them the exemption. Pursuant to the Iowa Tax Code 404, an assessor will determine if the property value has increased at least 10% from the improvements.

For the purpose of this project, focus was placed on the Iowa Tax Code and what Davenport required for the URTE program. Burlington and Dubuque—cities similar to Davenport—also have URTE programs, but it is not known if they have successfully used it for the purpose of addressing lead abatement. One requirement of the program is that the city or county must designate the afflicted area as an Urban Revitalization area. Based on a map provided by the City of Davenport through their website, the targeted area for this project does fall under a revitalization area. One important restriction is that this exemption is not applicable to properties that receive benefits from TIFs.

Based on our research, we believe that as long as improvements increase the property values by at least 10%, the Urban Revitalization Tax Exemption (URTE) program is a viable option to address lead abatement in Scott County. However, as it is a tax exemption, effected entities such as school districts may raise objections. Furthermore, property owners might still hesitate to make improvements since the exemption is a retroactive return and dependent on a 10% increase in property values. The final caution is that since this exemption is inapplicable for properties receiving TIF benefits, if the county were to decide to use TIF in combination with something else, the use of URTE would become invalid.

Overall, we recommend that the county considers the use of the Urban Revitalization Tax Exemption program to address lead abatement. Provided that properties meet the requirements, the exemption could cover most if not all of the cost per property.