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Here at California Lutheran University, ’tis the season of departmental reviews and pre-accreditation preparation. As we collectively reflect on our institutional mission and evaluate our curricula, including core requirements and student learning outcomes, our constant question is whether or not we are offering our students what they will need to be successful in a rapidly changing world. Almost a quarter of our students are first-generation college students, hoping a California Lutheran degree will net them a job better than what their parents could find, and thus enable their families’ lives to improve. On our campus, providing pathways that might allow students to graduate in three years instead of four so as to lessen their student loan burden is framed as a justice issue. No doubt it is. And yet I worry about an undercurrent noticeable in many of our conversations about these issues, both formal and informal. As external voices increasingly call into question the value of a college education, it seems that “value” has come to mean “can it get you a job?” and “how much money will it make you?”

By these measures, even I, an English professor, must admit that poetry is not of much value. But market forces are not my concern when I step into a literature classroom. This is not naïveté: I understand that higher education is an increasingly expensive endeavor, and I agree that we have an enormous responsibility to provide our students with meaningful tools to survive in the increasingly challenging environment that awaits them. Yet if we let our students graduate thinking that even we—faculty, staff, and administrators of Lutheran colleges and universities—believe that a good job is the best measure of a good education, we will have failed them. Our stated University mission is “to educate leaders for a global society who are strong in character and judgment, confident in their identity and vocation, and committed to service and justice.” I do want our students to get good jobs. But more than this, I want them to find ways to make meaningful contributions through work they feel called to do. I want them to be able to think carefully, feel deeply, reflect honestly, know themselves, and listen and respond to the voices and needs of others. Perhaps most of all, I want them to seek, know, and value the immaterial.

—William Carlos Williams, from “Asphodel, That Greeny Flower”

It is difficult
to get the news from poems
yet men die miserably every day
for lack
of what is found there.
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ineffable, and transcendent dimensions of their one sweet, brief, beautiful human life.

This is why I teach poetry. It really can help us not “die miserably.”

Introducing typical undergraduates to poetry is a fascinating and challenging task. I have discovered, as no doubt many others have before me, that by age 18 or 20 students have accrued a strange array of preconceived notions about the genre that I must work against every day. One common idea is that poems “can mean whatever you want them to mean.” While good poems are open to interpretation, the options are not endless. If the activity of interpretation were truly so open that meaning was contingent only on readers and not on the poem itself, we would have to assume that poets have nothing in particular to say, no specific impact they wish to make on readers, and no ability to create or communicate meanings of their own. In this view, there is no value in reading a poem; they have nothing to offer but pretty words. A second common belief is that poems are “puzzles” or “tricks” that must be figured out. In this view, poems are intended to be difficult, poets want you to feel stupid so they can feel superior, and the whole business is therefore to be avoided at all cost. No one wants to feel stupid, after all, and students who assume they won’t understand poems usually don’t even want to try. This type of student sees no value in poetry either, and usually adopts an attitude of dismissal or ridicule; it is much more comfortable than risking taking it seriously.

“From the epic narratives that shaped ancient cultures to ecstatic or prayerful expressions of religious devotees to elegies of deep grief to the simple or subtle insights of personal lyrics, poems speak to us about the human condition and the miraculous world we inhabit.”

The truth is that poets have a lot of specific and valuable things to say, and they actually want readers to listen and consider and understand. Indeed, some of the most significant and memorable things human beings have ever said, felt, thought, or believed have been expressed in poems. From the epic narratives that shaped ancient cultures to ecstatic or prayerful expressions of religious devotees to elegies of deep grief to the simple or subtle insights of personal lyrics, poems speak to us about the human condition and the miraculous world we inhabit. Reading poetry allows us to have a relationship with people from past times and other places; it allows us to see and feel, even briefly, what others have seen and felt; it helps teach us what we hold in common with others, and invites us to appreciate what is unique to each individual. To dismiss the genre outright is to seriously limit our opportunity to encounter and be challenged by all the big questions humans have asked about life and the universe, and to benefit from all the rich and multifarious ways people have explored and attempted to answer those questions.

In the lines I selected to open this essay, William Carlos Williams suggests that poetry is far from superfluous, a mere nicety, just a pretty little thing that people who are comfortable or nostalgic jot down for the fun of it to show their friends. Poetry is not practical, not newsy; yet, he argues, “men die miserably every day / for lack of what is found there.” One might easily question this claim. I once had a skeptical student say, eyes narrowed at me, well, if the person I love has a heart attack, the paramedics had better not pull out the sonnets of Shakespeare and start reading! Of course not. But imagine yourself in this same situation, and consider the next several hours or days: when you are sent home from the hospital without your loved one and you cannot sleep, and you lie there in the dark—or perhaps you sit up all night with every light on, hoping to keep darkness at bay—and you wonder if your beloved is still alive, if he or she is in pain, if there really is a just and loving God in this world full of suffering—marketable job skills will be of no value at all. Yet the sonnets of Shakespeare might bring you some real, even life-saving, comfort now.

You might steady yourself, for example, by remembering the profound strength of your love, turning to some lines perhaps read at the ceremony that bound the two of you together:

Let me not to the marriage of true minds
Admit impediments. Love is not love
Which alters when it alteration finds,
Or bends with the remover to remove:
O no! it is an ever-fixed mark
That looks on tempests and is never shaken;
It is the star to every wandering bark,
Whose worth’s unknown, although his height be taken.
Love’s not Time’s fool, though rosy lips and cheeks
Within his bending sickle’s compass come:
Love alters not with his brief hours and weeks,
But bears it out even to the edge of doom.
If this be error and upon me proved,
I never writ, nor no man ever loved.
(Shakespeare, Sonnet 116)
Or those who value the Bible might turn to the poems sung by the psalmist:

The Lord is my light and my salvation—
whom shall I fear?
The Lord is the stronghold of my life—
of whom shall I be afraid? (Psalm 27:1)

Though I walk through the valley of death
I will fear no evil… (Psalm 23:4)

The hard truth is that there are endless things we must survive out there in the real world that money and job skills can’t touch. We must survive, for example, all the ways in which our lives don’t turn out like we’d hoped or planned, or like what anyone prepared us for. We must survive worry, fear, and lack of security due to a troubled economic climate, a divisive political climate, and our suffering planet’s physical climate. We must survive long dark nights of the soul filled with loneliness and betrayal, anger and sadness, defeat and despair. We must survive illness, our own and others’. We must even survive death. For until our own death embraces us, each one of us will live to watch many others die: people we know, people we love, people we work with, people we admire; good people, young people, our parents and children, friends and lovers; cultural and political icons from our youth and from our own communities. It will be a long list. And yet poetry, I tell my students, really can help us live, and live well, in the face of death. It can offer much comfort. It can remind us of everything good and beautiful in the world. It can reassure us that we are not alone in our pain and suffering, even in times when no one else can be present with us. It can help give voice to our voiceless longings; it can give shape to our deepest and most complex feelings and give us means to reach out to others when otherwise we might be left mute and isolate.

In a frequently-cited essay on poets and poetry entitled “The Preface to the Lyrical Ballads,” first published in 1800, the Romantic poet William Wordsworth defines a poet as “a man speaking to men: a man, it is true, endowed with more lively sensibility, more enthusiasm and tenderness, who has a greater knowledge of human nature, and a more comprehensive soul, than are supposed to be common among mankind… [and] from practice, he has acquired a greater readiness and power in expressing what he thinks and feels” (300). This power of expression, what I often describe as the poet’s skill of translation, is invaluable. We need poets’ eyes, we need their knowledge, and we need their expansive word-hoards. We need the unique witness they bear to the world. We need their imaginations to stretch our own. Poets look at the world in uncommon ways, and see things there the common eye does not always see. In the same essay Wordsworth wrote that his “principle object” was “to choose incidents and situations from common life, and … to throw over them a certain coloring of imagination, whereby ordinary things should be presented to the mind in an unusual way” (289). In other words, the poet’s task is to defamiliarize the world the reader thinks he or she knows, to give us a fresh view of the things we see, and perhaps through this sense of newness, this fresh attention, we might gain new insights and a new sense of appreciation for things to which we have grown desensitized. The gift of fresh perspective is of untold value; it keeps our minds and hearts limber and helps us resist complacency. Poets look carefully at the world around them, and the poems they write both invite us and teach us to look and see and pay careful attention in turn.

“The gift of fresh perspective is of untold value; it keeps our minds and hearts limber and helps us resist complacency.”

To my mind, the skill of paying close attention might be what our students need most; they seem in remarkably short supply. In my Environmental Literature course, the assignment I give over the first weekend is simply to find a natural outdoor environment and spend an hour sitting still and paying attention. I ask them to leave their phones and electronic devices behind, find someplace with as little evidence of humanity as possible, sit down for one hour, and look around and notice things. Their brows immediately furrow. I don’t get it, they always say. What are we supposed to do? My earnest students are desperate for more information than this. They are used to teachers spelling out exactly what to do (and often exactly what to think). We would do well to remember that our incoming students have been schooled by the policies of No Child Left Behind ever since kindergarten, which means their instructors have been trained to teach toward tests; those students who are able to get into a liberal arts college have most likely achieved their success by keeping their heads down and following instructions well. They are unprepared to be asked to look around, and to notice what they notice.

I offer them guidance by way of questions. When you sit still and look around, what do you see? Grass, flowers, trees? What are their names? What are their colors and shapes? Are
there many or few? In what season of growth? What color
is the sky? What quality the light? What shape the horizon?
Are there clouds? Still or in motion, skidding fast or oozing
and morphing slowly like amoebas at low temperatures?
Do creatures appear as you wait and watch? Do they notice
you? Do they interact with you? Do you know their names
as well? Tune in to the rest of your physical senses: what can
you smell? What does the air feel like on your skin? What do
you imagine or know to be making the sounds you can hear?
Notice, too, what happens in your body and in your mind as
you sit. Stay still. Don’t look at your watch. Just take it all in.

I also give them a few literary texts to prepare them for
this activity. I assign readings from three esteemed American
nature writers: an excerpt from Henry David Thoreau’s essay
“Walking,” Annie Dillard’s essay “Living Like Weasels,” and
Pattiann Rogers’ poem “Knot”:

Watching the close forest this afternoon
and the riverland beyond, I delineate
quail down from the dandelion’s shiver
from the blowsy silver of the cobweb
in which both are tangled. I am skillful
at tracing the white egret within the white
branches of the dead willow where it roosts
and at separating the heron’s graceful neck
from the leaning stems of the blue-green
lilies surrounding. I know how to unravel
sawgrasses knitted to iris leaves knitted
to sweet vernals. I can unwind sunlight
from the switches of the water in the slough
and divide the grey sumac’s hazy hedge
from the hazy grey of the sky, the red vein
of the hibiscus from its red blossom.

All afternoon I part, I isolate, I untie,
I undo, while all the while the oak
shadows, easing forward, slowly ensnare me,
and the calls of the pewees catch
and latch in my gestures, and the spicebush
swallowtails weave their attachments
into my attitude, and the damp sedge
fragrances hook and secure, and the swaying
Spanish mosses loop my coming sleep,
And I am marsh-shackled, forest-twined,
Even as the new stars, showing now
through the night-spaces of the sweet gum
And beech, squeeze into the dark
Bone of my breast, take their perfectly
Secured stitches up and down, pull
All of their thousand threads tight
And fasten, fasten.

I ask them to read these texts thoughtfully, to underline
details that stand out or seem interesting, and then to draw
on these three models of observation and reflection as they
sit. I also instruct them to bring pen and paper, but they are
not to use these for at least the first 30 minutes. After that, I
suggest that they jot down some notes about their surround-
ings and thoughts, anything that will help them remember
the experience and return in their imaginations to that place
and that hour after they have left it physically behind.

The results of this modest task are remarkable. The students
return to the classroom completely wired, wanting to talk and
talk about their experiences, where they went and what hap-
pened in their heads and bodies and hearts. Many freely admit
they haven’t gone anywhere without their phones in years,
and being unplugged causes a range of reactions, from relief
and pleasure at an unfamiliar sense of freedom to temporarily
increased anxiety. Most of them report experiencing a deep
calm after a time and say they can actually hear themselves
think. Is that rare? I ask. Yes, they all nod vigorously. What are
the implications for that, I ask, given the fact that you are stu-
dents, and your primary work is presumably to think? Do you
know what your mind really needs in order to learn well and
to do its best work? The questions give them pause. Two years
ago, out of 30 students, two didn’t think they had spent a single
hour outside alone in their entire lives. Several hadn’t done so
since childhood, and reported being rushed with a profound
and simple happiness they hadn’t experienced since then.
Two women, too afraid of the possibility of rape or violence to
risk being away from other humans alone, had decided to go
together, and, once they found a quiet place, separated just far
enough to get out of sight of each other behind trees, so they
could hear one another call out if they needed to. For these two,
being able to be alone and even semi-relaxed outdoors felt like
a great gift. This seemed bittersweet to me. I asked all of us to
reflect on the implications of a culture of violence that prevents
people from accessing all the dimensions of deep rejuvenation
we had just collectively described.

After the primary experience of immersion and reflection,
we turn to literature again in order to study the strategies
poets employ to translate into words their experiences in the
natural world. We notice how poets attend to concrete detail,
avoid clichéd language in favor of more fresh and striking words, and how they use the rhythms and sounds of language to try to recreate for their readers not only physical details and ideas, but also the subtleties of feeling and mood. Then, much to my students’ surprise and worry, I ask them to turn their own notes into poems. Environmental Science majors always outnumber the English majors in this course, and creative writing is not familiar to them. Yet most report that the process of reading poetry and then trying to produce it themselves helps them to grasp on a deep and organic level, not just intellectually, how to look carefully at their surroundings, appreciate even the smallest of details they might normally overlook, and not just reflect on but really take responsibility for their relationship to the environment. At the end of the semester, many cite this exercise as one of the best things they’ve done in college, because it helps remind them of valuable things their current choices and lifestyles simply don’t allow them to access: the spirit-renewing beauty of the natural world; the body-renewing pleasure of stillness; the mind-renewing gift of quiet and solitude.

Poetry is, most simply, language put together in a form that differs from regular speech or prose. And the differences are important. At a glance, we see that lines do not simply start on the left side of the page and march in a row all the way to the right like the prose sentences of an essay. Instead, poets use line breaks in order to produce certain effects. The placement of words and ideas outside the confines of a conventional sentence causes our minds to encounter them more slowly and in less linear ways, and allows for a range of associations to flow in ways that the form of prose does not invite. Sometimes, in poems with a traditional or closed form, such as a sonnet, there are fixed rules of rhyme and meter the poet must follow, and line breaks occur at regular intervals; in what is called open form or “free” verse, an author need not follow any set pattern, but may rely on instinct and purpose as guides. Line breaks organize the content of a poem, and play an important role in establishing the pace and mood of a work. Line breaks produce pauses within sentences, slow the reader down, and give special emphasis to certain words or phrases due to their placement. Consider, for example, the lines from William Carlos Williams that opened this essay. The units of words our brains encounter are not complete sentences, but shorter bits. In the space it takes for our eyes to move back and forth, our minds have time to consider the relationship between each unit and the next, and the next: “difficult,” “news,” “poem”; “die miserably every day”… each phrase increases in importance and weight, and we cannot just skim past on autopilot.

The words “for lack” stand apart as the shortest line in the excerpt, and they are also inset, suddenly lining up with the beginning of the statement. Our eye is drawn to them, and as we read, especially if we read aloud, our voice lands on “lack,” leaving the word and its meaning hanging sparse and lonely in the air while we must pause briefly to swing our eyes back to the new line. While our eyes and mind moves, the question lingers: lack of what? We come to the final phrase of the sentence with a sense of seriousness, though the answer given is not like the answer to a math equation. The poet is not trying to “trick” us, or make us feel stupid, but is rather trying to open up our linear minds and the assumptions we carry around in order to take in a challenging and serious claim: poetry is important. A matter of life and death. The fact that we might have hoped for a clearer answer is part of the poet’s purpose; if we go away with the question nagging at us—what is it, then, in a poem that matters? what could it be?—then Williams has done his job well. Rather than passively take his explanation, whatever it might have been, as “fact,” readers are invited to engage with the question, taking on the responsibility of approaching each new poem with specific attention, on the alert, actively seeking an answer for ourselves: what of value can you offer me?”

“...readers are invited to engage with the question, taking on the responsibility of approaching each new poem with specific attention, on the alert, actively seeking an answer for ourselves: what of value can you offer me?”

Williams entices us, with just five brief lines of poetry, to approach poetry itself with an earnest question as to its value and its capacity to do meaningful, life-saving, misery-diminishing work in you and in those around you. If you do this, I tell my students, I promise you will not be disappointed. Poetry reveals to us the great big world, everything extraordinary and everything mundane. Poets speak for us, offering us good strong memorable words to express the depths and heights of feeling and ideas that often expand beyond the rational dimension of language. The special construction of poems stretches how we think, how we see relationships and make associations, and ultimately how we make meaning. For all these reasons, poetry is perhaps our best tool to give voice to those aspects of the human...
experience that are most meaningful, most necessary, and sometimes most difficult to express.

I leave you with two favorites. I hope they speak to you.

You do not have to be good.
You do not have to walk on your knees
for a hundred miles through the desert, repenting.
You only have to let the soft animal of your body

love what it loves.
Tell me about despair, yours, and I will tell you mine.
Meanwhile the world goes on.
Meanwhile the sun and the clear pebbles of the rain
are moving across the landscapes,
over the prairies and the deep trees,
the mountains and the rivers.
Meanwhile the wild geese, high in the clean blue air,
are heading home again.
Whoever you are, no matter how lonely,
the world offers itself to your imagination,
calls to you like the wild geese, harsh and exciting –
over and over announcing your place
in the family of things.

(Mary Oliver, “Wild Geese”)

Listen to the voice of each dead poet
as if it were your own.

It is.

(Philip Dacey, from “Notes of an Ancient Chinese Poet”)

Works Cited


